Case 1:07-cv-09055-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	K	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION			
ANTHONY ANDERSON AND ORETHAL AN	DERSON	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an 'V' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),			

and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ANTHONY ANDERSON AND ORETHAL ANDERSON, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)			
1. individual a	✓ Plaintiff, ANTHONY nd a citizen of New York res	`	er the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an Elmont, NY 11003
		(OR)	· ·
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	Please	read this document careful	lly.
	It is very important that you	fill out each and every sec	tion of this document.

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-09055-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Plaintiff:		nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
r iamum.	ANTHONY ANDERSON, ar to the injuries sustained by her ANDERSON.	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due husband (his wife), Plaintiff ANTHONY  Other:
4. Police Depar	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 5/30/2 tment (NYPD) as a police officer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) ( From on or a Approximate	d Trade Center Site  i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)  bout _9/11/2001_ until _5/30/2002_;  ly _12_ hours per day; for	The Barge  From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
☐ The New From on or a Approximate	ly _262_ days total.  York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
From on or a Approximate Approximate	bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	*	apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
✓ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on 11/2/06	
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC. ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FOR TEXACAVATING CORE
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

□ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-09055-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil  remov	bility, and asserts each element necessary to est	urisdiat the U.S.C	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.  ACTION  d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>✓</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		<b>V</b>	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

# Case 1:07-cv-09055-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/26/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	<b>✓</b>	Other Injury: Eye and/or Ear Problems; Sleep Problems Date of onset: 6/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
<ul><li>NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.</li><li>2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the</li></ul>			

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:  $\checkmark$ Pain and suffering  $\checkmark$ Loss of the enjoyment of life  $\checkmark$ Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity  $\checkmark$ Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  $\checkmark$ Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation  $\checkmark$ Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.\_

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Anderson

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Anthony Anderson and Orethal

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No:  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
====	Anthony Anderson (and Wife, Orethal Anderson),		
	Plaintiff(s) - against -		
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		
	Defendant(s).		
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT		
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700		
	To Attorney(s) for		
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,		
	Attorney(s) for		
====	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:		
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20		
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP		

1165 7588